



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/734,583

12/15/2003

Hidetoshi Andou

2923-595

2600

6449

7590

12/02/2008

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.

1425 K STREET, N.W.

SUITE 800

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

ALLEN, MARIANNE P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1647

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/02/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10734583	12/15/2003	ANDOU ET AL.	2923-595

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.
1425 K STREET, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

Marianne P.. Allen

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

1647	20081125
------	----------

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

An Advisory Action was mailed to applicant on 11/19/2008. This communication is being sent to further clarify the points made in the Advisory Action.

Applicant's arguments submitted 11/4/2008 included the statement:

"In view of the Office's position on the previous Declaration, Applicants chose to rely on the fact that the claimed invention and the two cited prior art references -Andou et al. and Hotten et al.-were owned by the same person at the time the claimed invention was made."

Applicant relies on this statement to disqualify the prior art to Hotten et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,972,321) and Andou et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,551,801). This statement does not provide a clear and conspicuous statement of common ownership as discussed in MPEP 706.02(l)(2). The statement does not clearly and conspicuously indicate that U.S. Patent No. 6,972,321 and U.S. Patent No. 6,551,801 as well as application 10/734,583 were, at the time the invention was made, owned by Company Z. Attached are the present PTO assignment records for both patents and the instant application. They do not establish that the two patents and the instant application were ever commonly owned, particularly at the time of the invention.

The prior art is not disqualified and the art rejection is maintained for reasons of record.

Attachment: 3 pages of assignment information for 10/743,583; U.S. Patent No. 6,972,321; and U.S. Patent No. 6,551,801.

/Marianne P. Allen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647